Newfoundland Club of America - Water Test Evaluation - Exhibitor



In the interest of promoting quality water tests and providing feedback to the host clubs, the NCA Working Dog Committee would appreciate your input. Please indicate the extent of your agreement with the following statements about important aspects of this test and to offer specific comments and suggestions when appropriate. The topics include the test site, test organization, judges, stewards, and test committee. Here are some points you may wish to consider when evaluating this test:

Test Site

Types of facilities offered, safety, comfort, adequate space, natural obstacles utilized, weather effects, shade, terrain, footing, spectator viewing, area for dogs when not being tested, restrooms, drinking water, accessibility.

Test Organization

Test ran smoothly and on time. Premium List and entry acknowledgement contained necessary information, including directions to the site, accommodations, meals, and schedule of activities. Entrants were notified in a timely fashion. The test site was well marked, and required equipment was available.

ludges

Pleasant, professional, organized, impartial. Knowledgeable of the test rules and equipment. Able to handle problems if they arose. Answered questions. Clarified intent in "grey areas". Put exhibitors at ease. Able to adapt to site conditions. Worked well together.

Stewards

Knowledgeable, efficient, consistent, well trained. Sufficient number of stewards available to help the test run smoothly. Responded to judges' suggestions. Performed to the best of their ability.

Test Committee

Organized, friendly, helpful, hospitable, available/visible. Able to provide needed information upon request. Understood rules and procedures. Had required paperwork available and completed, as much as possible, in advance. Helped to keep the test moving along.

YOUR NAME (option	al)			Division Ente	ered:WDWRDWRDX
Was your dog a successful qualifier at this test? Have you exhibited at other water tests? Would you like to exhibit at this test again?		st? Yes Yes Yes	No No No		
Judges (I)	((2)			
Observer Judge (if any) Te		_ Test Site	·		-
	f agreement with the following st comments/ suggestions when app		it importa	nt aspects of this test by o	circling the number that represents your
1	2	3		4	5
Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral		Disagree	Strongly Disagree
I	ilities were safe, comfoi 2 3	rtable, and 4	met Te	est Regulation requ 5	uirements.
Comments/Suggestic	ons:				
2 The test was WELL	L ORGANIZED and ran	smoothly			
2. The test was WEE	2 3	4		5	
Comments/Suggestic	ons:				
3. The JUDGES were	knowledgeable, fair, and	-	nal in p	_	ıties.
	2 3	4		5	
Comments/Suggestion	ons:				
4. The STEWARDS as	ssisted in a competent,	efficient. a	nd cons	sistent manner.	
I	2 3	4		5	
Comments/Suggestic	ons:				
5. The TEST COMMI	TTEE was organized, fri	-	helpful		
Commonts/Suggestie	2 3	4		5	
Comments/Suggestic	ons:				
6. Overall comments	suggestions regarding t	:his test: (u	ise reve	erse if needed)	
Thank you fe	or taking the time to respond to	these question	s and state	aments Plasse return this	form within 2 weeks to:

Cindi Kursner
407 Marigold Ave Portage, MI 49002-6257 or by e-mail to: ursa143@yahoo.com